

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

MAR 25 2008

MOLLY DWYER, ACTING CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

JOSE ANTONIO LOPEZ NAVA; ALMA
FABIOLA LOPEZ,

Petitioners,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 06-74006

Agency Nos. A79-535-775
A79-535-776

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted March 18, 2008**

Before: CANBY, T. G. NELSON, and BEA, Circuit Judges

José Antonio Lopez Nava and Alma Fabiola Lopez, natives and citizens of Mexico, petition pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' denial of their fourth motion to reopen. They contend that their applications for cancellation

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

of removal should be granted. The petitioners timely petitioned for review only of the Board's decision of July 18, 2006. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(b)(1); *Membrano v. Gonzales*, 425 F.3d 1227, 1229 (9th Cir. 2005) (en banc). They do not, however, address the Board's ruling that their fourth motion to reopen was numerically barred under 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2). We therefore deny the petition for review.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.